STAFF REPORT: MAY 11, 2022 MEETING APPLICATION NUMBER: 22-7804, 7809 - 7812 ADDRESS: 2555 & 2508 – 2484 BURNS HISTORIC DISTRICT: INDIAN VILLAGE APPLICANT: BRIAN REBAIN PROPERTY OWNER: DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL DATE OF PROVISIONALLY COMPLETE APPLICATION: APRIL 18, 2022 DATE OF STAFF SITE VISIT: APRIL 28, 2022

### SCOPE: ESTABLISH PERMANENT OUTDOOR CLASSROOM STRUCTURES, PREVIOUSLY ERECTED PER COVID-19 RESOLUTION

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The school at 2555 Burns was erected in 1913 for the University Liggett School for Girls. Designed by Albert Kahn, the building was sited parallel to Charlevoix. In 1924, an addition was erected. As seen in the below photo, the addition extends forward of the original building at Charlevoix and extends even further south along the west property line. Designed in the Arts & Crafts/Mission style, the exterior showcases stucco and brick walls, brick arched entrances, grouped leaded glass windows, a slate roof with overhanging eaves, and the character-defining mission-shaped parapet above the front entrance. The building's exterior colors, consisting of beige, dark brown, dark red, and gray, complement the surrounding landscape. The large L-shaped building allowed for the retention of a large amount of open space along Burns Avenue, which was subsequently developed as play areas and gardens for the school.



Staff photo, May 3, 2022.

When University Liggett School relocated to Grosse Pointe Woods in the mid-1960s, Detroit Waldorf School, founded in 1965, purchased the property. In 2020, the school erected wood-frame outdoor teaching facilities on the school grounds, as identified on the applicant's site plan on the following page. Locations of the structures were selected to allow for physical distancing between classrooms and the maintenance of existing playground/garden locations.



Site plan submitted by Detroit Waldorf School. HDC staff added red lines to identify the boundaries of the school's property and the yellow line to denote the eastern edge of the Indian Village Historic District.

Waldorf School owns a single large parcel on the west side of Burns, on which the school, playgrounds and gardens are located; four parcels on the east side of Burns which comprise a surface parking lot and open space for additional recreational needs; and five parcels on Fischer Street (which are outside of the historic district).

#### PROPOSAL

In September 2020, the applicant submitted a proposal for the erection of 14 temporary structures in response to COVID-19. A Notice-To-Proceed was issued for the erection of the 12 structures within the historic district's boundaries under the authority conferred to HDC staff by the Commission's Resolution #20-02. In 2021 and 2022, the structures were modified per the submitted narrative.

With the current submission, the applicant is seeking the Commission's approval to retain the 12 existing shelters within the Indian Village Historic District's boundary as a permanent addition to the Waldorf School property. (The remaining two shelters are located along Fischer Street, which is outside of the district's boundary.)

#### STAFF OBSERVATIONS AND RESEARCH

- The Indian Village Historic District was established in 1971.
- Based on staff's research of Sanborn maps and building permit cards, it appears that no structures were ever constructed on the east side Burns parcels currently owned by Waldorf School.
- The unadorned outdoor classroom structures, with unstained/unpainted cedar, have begun to weather. It is staff's opinion the structures fit into the surrounding landscape better than if the wood members were painted or stained due to the organic wooded environment in which they were placed. The neutral-toned, weathered stucco finish of the school walls also offers a commonality of colors with the structures.



Large classroom, Staff photo, April 28, 2022



Small classroom, Staff photo, April 28, 2022

- During a recent site visit while school was in session, staff saw that the outdoor classrooms are not structures used in a passive way, such as a gazebo or pergola, rather, they are engaging structures, similar to the nearby playground equipment and gardens.
- The applicant's narrative discusses additional, inclusive use of these structures by neighboring community and city-wide organizations.
  - Spring 2021 a Waldorf School Music Fest was held, along with an exhibition of student artwork.
  - Summer 2021 Detroit Mosaic Theatre utilized the shelters for two weeks of its summer camp.
  - April 2022 Waldorf School held a free public Storytelling Festival (which featured organizations such as Ruth Ellis Center, Detroit Disability Power, Shakespeare in Detroit, and more).
  - Future 2022 Intentions host community meetings and events with even more neighboring and city-wide organizations.

#### **ISSUES**

- The dimensions listed in the 2020 shelter application are not accurate to what was constructed due to modifications made in the field over the past 18 months. Therefore, staff recommends the Commission use the original documents submitted by the applicant for general reference only. <u>The As-Built Photos and Dimensions document immediately following the staff report compiles the current project under review by the Commission. Besides the increased shelter sizes, the following items should be noted.</u>
  - Black fabric curtains have been installed at three, and at times, four sides of each structure. Staff understands the necessity of enclosures in cold weather, but the contrasting black color creates dark walls in contrast with the spirit of open air, neutrally colored structures.
  - o Small solar panels were installed on some fascia boards.
- One of the tenets of the Arts and Crafts movement is the harmonious relationship between buildings and gardens, the blend of naturalism and formal elements. It is staff's opinion, the cumulative effect of the number, and overall sizes, of the structures on the original school site has diminished the park-like environment of the playground and garden areas, which staff identifies as a character-defining feature of the site.



Google street view, May 2019



Staff photo, May 3, 2022



Google street view, May 2019



Staff photo, May 3, 2022

- As the school and community develop expanded uses for the structures, staff is concerned that more incremental changes have the potential to further erode the design envisioned for the structures within a wooded landscape.
- The applicant has requested the Commission issue a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for this project. Should the Commission determine the structures do not qualify for a COA, staff recommends that the Commission consider the issuance of a Notice-to-Proceed (NTP) for the project as the structures serve as a

community asset per the applicant's narrative. Specifically, an NTP could be issued if the Commission finds that any of the following conditions prevail and that the work is necessary to substantially improve or correct such condition:

- 1. The resource constitutes a hazard to the safety of the public or the occupants;
- 2. The resource is a deterrent to a major improvement program that will be of substantial benefit of the community. Substantial benefit shall be found only if the applicant proposing the work has obtained all necessary planning and zoning approvals, financing and environmental clearances, and the improvement program is otherwise feasible;
- 3. Retention of the resource would cause undue financial hardship to the owner. Undue financial hardship shall be found only when a governmental action, an act of-God, or other events beyond the owner's control created the hardship, and all feasible alternatives to eliminate the financial hardship, which may include offering the resource for sale at its fair market value or moving the resource to an appropriate vacant site within the historic district, have been attempted and exhausted by the owner;
- 4. Retention of the resource would not be in the interest of the majority of the community.

#### RECOMMENDATION

#### Section 21-2-78, Determination of Historic District Commission

It is staff's opinion that the outdoor classroom structures, as installed, alter the features and spaces that characterize the property. Staff therefore recommends the Commission issue a denial for the work as proposed because it does not meet the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation and the Elements of Design for the district, specifically Standards:

- 1) A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.
- 2) The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

Should the Commission issue a NTP for the work, staff recommends the following condition be applied:

• New elements and/or alterations proposed for the 12 structures and site be submitted to HDC staff for review and approval prior to work taking place.

REVISED May 03, 2022

Detroit Historic District Commission Coleman A Young Municipal Center 2 Woodward Ave #808 Detroit, MI 48226

#### RE: Detroit Waldorf Outdoor Classrooms

Detroit Historic District Commission:

On behalf of the Detroit Waldorf School (DWS), I am writing to the Detroit Historic District Commission (HDC) to request a Certificate of Appropriateness (CofA) for a series of outdoor classroom structures constructed prior to the 2020-21 school year on an emergency basis in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The structures were given a Notice to Proceed on a temporary basis (attached) which was subsequently renewed as required. At this time, the school wishes to receive a permanent CofA for the work.

#### Building

The Detroit Waldorf School is located at 2555 Burns Ave, in the Indian Village Historic District, at the corner of Burns Charlevoix St. The initial school building was designed by Albert Kahn and constructed on the north end of the site along Charlevoix in 1913 for The Liggett School and later expanded with a 1923 Albert Kahn addition to the south that included an auditorium, gymnasium, and additional classroom space. The Liggett School occupied the building until 1965, when a new school building was constructed in Grosse Pointe Woods, and The Liggett School merged with the Grosse Pointe University School to form University Liggett School, leaving the Albert Kahn building on Burns briefly vacant.

DWS was founded by Amelia and Dr. Rudolf Wilhelm in 1965 with a goal of providing a diverse and equitable educational experience for Detroit children. When founded, it was one of the city's only integrated schools, and continues its tradition and commitment to racial, ethnic and economic diversity to this day. When founded, the school was first located in Detroit's Central United Methodist Church, and soon moved into the recently vacated Liggett School where it expanded quickly to include pre-school, kindergarten and elementary classes from 1<sup>st</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> grades. DWS has served as a proud steward of the original Albert Kahn building with careful restoration of the stucco facades, roof and wood windows over the years. Much of the original school interiors are still intact.

#### Site

As notable as the school building are the school's grounds and play areas. Essential to the Waldorf educational philosophy is its commitment to outdoor free play and learning for students of all ages. Accordingly, the school has cultivated a welcoming and active outdoor space spanning across multiple city blocks. The school's campus includes a playground to the south of the school building that is original to the school site. The school later expanded across Burns to a series of former residential lots now affectionately known as "Quietland". The school recently expanded again, purchasing several former residential lots on Fischer St, directly across the shared alley from Quietland.

Prior to the recent COVID-19 additions, the school grounds included multiple wooden play structures, two swing sets, shade structures, a vegetable garden and multiple areas for unscripted free play and exploration. These areas are generally arranged around the perimeter of the sites with large open, grassy areas in the middle for open play, and open vistas from the adjacent Burns Ave right of way.

The original school site is bounded with a hedgerow and 4' chain link fence along Charlevoix and Burns with an iron swing gate set on two brick piers framing the formal entry to the school grounds off Burns Ave. The rear of the site is bounded with a tall chain link fence to create privacy with the adjacent residential homes. An open play area sits in the middle of the site with two wooden play structures at the south end of the site, a swing set to the west and the school's vegetable garden directly to the south of the school building. Directly in front of the school, the landscape is more developed. Mature trees flank the entrance walkway to the school with open play areas shaded beneath. Play areas for the early childhood program sit closest to the school with a wooden play structure, swing set, and wooden shade structure clustered at the east end of the school building.

The Quietland site is bounded by an unadorned 4' chain link fence along much of Burns with a main entry gate directly across Burns from the formal entry to the school. The center of the site is an open play area with various wooded areas around the rear perimeter of the site for exploration and play. The north end of Quietland houses the school's parking lot, which is bounded on its NW corner by a 6' tall decorative aluminum fence marked with brick piers. The side of the parking lot and alley lot line then transitions to a 6' tall chain link fence grown over with ivy.

To the east, the newly acquired Fischer lots were vacant grassy fields when purchased by the school with no fence line, limited plantings and no structures.

#### Outdoor Classroom Structures

In the Spring of 2020, with the school closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the administration gathered a core group of teachers, staff, and interested parents (including numerous architects, planners, and builders) to formulate a way of providing outdoor gathering spaces for each class in the school. Theses spaces, at that time indeterminate in shape and size, would be used to maintain safe physical distancing between classes throughout the day and create shelters for outdoor classes to take place so the school could operate safely in person during the pandemic. The planning group quickly devised a plan to construct 14 cedar shelters (12 within the boundaries of the IV HD, 2 located outside the district), one for each classroom, sized accordingly to the age and number of children in each class. Landscaped areas would be provided between the shelters to denote boundaries for each class. A donation campaign and volunteer core were quickly marshaled to fund and construct the work.

The cedar shelters were designed to be open on three sides to maintain visibility to/from the adjacent open play areas. A "teaching wall" was constructed of slatted wood on the fourth side, so visibility to/from the adjacent open play areas could be maintained through the structure from all perspectives. The form was a simple shed structure with an open floor area and no built-in furniture to allow for as much flexibility and adaptability for each individual class. Early childhood (ages 3-6) shelters were designed as approximately 12'x16' structures to accommodate smaller classes. (and smaller children) Kindergarten and Grades 1-8 shelters were designed as approximately 20'x24' for larger class sizes.

Material choices were as important to the mission as the shape of the shelter. The character of the new shelters was intentionally designed to be in tune with the natural materials of the existing wooden play structures and mature landscape. Decay resistant (aka "treated") wood was used for all concealed structural elements including the foundation posts, floor and roof framing. Natural, unfinished rough sawn cedar boards were selected over treated wood for all touchable surfaces to ensure the health and well-being of the students. The finish floor boards, teaching wall slats, and rim joists were all constructed of rough sawn cedar. A specialty designed "sandwich column" construction was employed to encapsulate the treated foundation posts between finish cedar column boards, which also serve as the building's primary structure holding up the roof. The roofs were protected with auburn-colored asphalt shingles with a cedar shake replica profile to blend in with the natural materials of the shelters and outdoor landscape. Gutters, downspouts, and rain barrels were limited to non-visible locations along rear fence lines around the site to eliminate having visible synthetic materials visible from the public ROW.

Critical to the plan was the siting of the shelters, all of which were thoughtfully located around the perimeter of the site to ensure proper physical distancing between classes as well as to maintain the general openness of the free play areas on the school's campus. The smaller 15' shelters for the early childhood classes were clustered nearer to the school to follow the previous play area plan as well as to keep the younger kids closer to the school. Grades 1-3 were then spaced around the corners of the south end of the Burns lot. The two Kindergarten shelters were located at the south end of Quietland to be nearer the wooded area. Grades 4-6 were spaced equally around the remaining perimeter of Quietland. The two cedar shelters for grades 7-8 were located on the Fischer lots, the farthest classroom shelters from the school building, and outside the IV historic district.

#### Elements of Design

Classroom shelters such as these are certainly a novel element in a school campus, and the Elements of Design do not give much guidance on this type of out-building. Most of the direction given is for single-family home buildings. The only mention of either school located in the Indian Village Historic District, DWS and the nearby Nichols Elementary, is to note the presence of open space around the schools as a character defining feature of both. However, from this brief description, some essential design guidance is provided that informed the classroom shelter design.

*Relationship of open space to structures.* Open space in the district occurs in the form of vacant land, a City park, school yards for the Waldorf and Nichols Schools, and side lots. Where an original or early arrangement of a house and grounds included and still includes landscaped lots which form

part of the landscaping plan for the residence, such landscaped lots are significant landscape features.

The primary point to take from this section is to understand that the open space around the school is the most important feature of the landscape to preserve. Given that, great care was taken in the siting of the shelters to preserve that sense of open space.

When standing inside each of the shelters, open views of the school grounds are framed by the structure itself, creating a great visual connection between the classes out to the grounds. Moreover, the open sides and slatted wood walls of the shelters do not obscure views from the public ROW into the school grounds. Most importantly, the early childhood shelters closest to the school are located so as not to block primary views of the original Albert Kahn school, one of only three schools ever designed by the master architect, and the only one still standing. In all instances, there was both a practical and aesthetic consideration to maintaining and even promoting the open character of the school grounds.

Equal to the impact of having an appropriate location for each shelter, the materials of the shelters also play a significant role on their visual impact within the historic district. Natural wood materials and finishes were purposefully used to blend seamlessly into the mature wooded landscape around the school, and as the cedar boards have already aged, their bright unfinished color has started to patina into a darker, unassuming finish. Many of the existing wood structures on the site (play and shade structures) also have a naturally aged wood finish, and so these new structures blend easily within the school grounds' existing context.

#### **Community Partnership**

The classroom shelters have also provided a venue for events for the Detroit Waldorf School and the larger Detroit community. In the spring of 2021, a DWS Music Fest featuring youth performers was held at the shelters alongside a public exhibition of student artwork, both of which showcased the diverse talents of DWS students. Additionally, in the summer of 2021, the Detroit Mosaic Theatre utilized the shelters for two weeks for its summer camp, and this partnership is anticipated again this summer. DWS is also excited to host the free, public Storytelling Festival on April 30th featuring organizations such as the Ruth Ellis Center, Detroit Disability Power, Shakespeare in Detroit, and Inside Out Literary Arts and storytellers Andaiya Spencer, Eradajere Oleita, Jatu Gray, and Jennine Spencer. There are many other intentions to use the shelters for future community meetings and events including camps, performances, scouting groups, DPSCD partnerships, and DWS Winter Faires. DWS has had conversations with churches, The Villages of Detroit CDC, Indian Village Women's Garden Club, Detroit Future City, Detroit Audubon, Detroit Hives, and the neighbors on Fischer, to name a few groups. The shelters have already inspired new partnerships and engaged hundreds in the Detroit community, and their potential for future community impact is without limit.

#### Subsequent Modifications

- During the 2020 school year, as the season turned towards winter and air temperatures dropped, it became urgently clear that some kind of additional protection would be required on the shelters in order for classes to continue to use them throughout the majority of the day. The solution was found through donated fabric from Carhartt and the work of a local non-profit and DWS faculty and parents to sew and install curtains on three sides of the shelters. The curtains are still installed today.
- During the summer of 2021, teachers raised a concern that some of the shelters were undersized for their mission of providing a dedicated outdoor classroom. In response, volunteers erected shelter floor extensions, approximately 4' x 16' on the small shelters and 4' x 24' on the large shelters, on each side of four shelters. (three for early childhood and the 1<sup>st</sup> grade)
- Gutters, downspouts, and rain collection barrels were added to some shelters where not readily visible from the public ROW. All shelters located near Burns St did not have the gutters added because of visibility concerns.
- Teachers have made minor ad hoc modifications to individual shelters including addition of furniture, chalkboards, art pieces, small solar powered lights, and other items.

#### Conclusion

We respectfully submit this request to the Detroit Historic District Commission for your consideration. Additional photos and sketches have been provided showing the current as-built condition for each shelter. The site plan has also been updated with names to correspond to this as-built document. We look forward to meeting with Commission at the May 2022 meeting and will be happy to answer any questions as HDC staff prepares their review of and report on the work.

Sincerely,

Bi Pehi

Brian Rebain, RA, NCARB DWS Class of 2021 and 2024 Parent



≥ 4

1

2

**—**—

3

D

# CLASSROOM SHELTER

В

### DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL

REVISED 05/03/22

С

	A	merDesignGroup Detroit MI 48226   p 313 965 3399   f 313 965 3555 www.thekraemeredge.com
PROJECT INFORMATION		
PROJECT LOCATION:	2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI 48214	Architect
OWNER:	DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI 48214	
ARCHITECT OF RECORD:	KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC BRIAN REBAIN, RA, NCARB STATE OF MICHIGAN CERTIFICATE NO. 1301040246 1420 BROADWAY DETROIT, MI 48226 www.thekraemeredge.com (313) 965-3399	Consultant
STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:	RESURGET MARC STEINHOBEL 4219 WOODWARD AVE SUITE 306 DETROIT, MI 48201	TEMPORARY OUTDC CLASSROOM SHELT 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI DETROIT WALDORF SCHO 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI
С	ODE SUMMARY	Project / Owner
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:    EMERG      SHELTERS TO SERVE THE SCHOOL DU    TEN MEASURE 20'X20', AND FOUR M      SCHOOL GROUNDS TO ALLOW FOR EF      ALSO PROVIDING GOOD LOCATIONS. FOR      PLAYFIELD LOCATIONS. TWLEVE SHELT      CURRENTLY ZONED R1 AND APPROVE      ADJACENT TO THE IV-HD ON FISCHER      ZONING:    R1-H;      ZONING ORDINANCE SUMMARY:    SHELTE      PRIMARY SCHOOL FUNCTIONS AT THE MA      AND BURNS ST. THE OWNER IS SEEKING      IN-SCHOOL LEARNING COVID PREPAREDN      OF THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE C      Sec. 50-12-553 Natural disasters of      Temporary uses and structures needed      are allowed for the duration of the em      FURTHERMORE:      Sec. 50-12-559 Other uses.      The Buildings, Sofety Engineering, and E      or special events where it is determined      welfare, or be injurious or detrimental the      drowshild activity.      OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION:    EDUCAT      CONSTRUCTION TYPE:    OUTDOX      APPLICABLE CODES:    BUILDING	ENCY ERECTION OF TEMPORARY OUTDOOR WOOD CLASSROOM JRING THE COVID PANDEMIC. THERE ARE 14 PROPOSED SHELTERS. EASURE 12'X12'. THE SHELTERS ARE SPACED THROUGHOUT THE FTEOTIVE PHYSICAL DISTANCING BETWEEN THE CLASSROOMS, WHILE OR OVERSIGHT OF THE STUDENTS AS WELL AS MAINTAIN EXISTING ERS ARE LOCATED ON LOTS IN THE INDIAN VILLAGE HISTORIC DISTRICT D FOR EDUCATIONAL USE. TWO SHELTERS ARE LOCATED ON LOTS R ST, CURRENTLY ZONED R2. R2 - SEE ATTACHED SITE PLAN RS ARE BEING PROPOSED AS TEMPORARY ACCESSORY STRUCTURES TO THE IN BUILDING LOCATED AT 2555 BURS, AT THE CORNER OF CHARLEVOIX TEMPORARY APPROVAL TO USE THESE STRUCTURES AS PART OF THEIR ESS PLAN. APPROVAL IS BEING SOUGHT PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS ITY OF DETROIT ZONING ORDINANCE: and emergencies. as the result of a natural disaster or other health and safety emergencies ergency. Environmental Department may approve other temporary uses and activities d that such uses would not jeopardize the health, safety or general to properties adjacent to, or in the vicinity of, the proposed location of 10NAL (ACCESSORY USE) DR WOOD SHADE STRUCTURE G: MICHIGAN BUILDING CODE (MBC) 2015	Seal      Interportunent and the lifes and designs moorport of the procession accession scenario can be subjected and the procession accession accessio accessio accessio accession accession accession accessio accessi

#### SUNFLOWER (Pre-K, built 2020, expanded 2021)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### HONEYBEE (Pre-K, built 2020, expanded 2021)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)



**Front View** 

Side View

#### MOON (Pre-K, built 2020, expanded 2021)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### BLUEBIRD (Pre-K, built 2020, expansion started 2021)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (when complete)





**Front View** 

**Side View** 

#### RAINBOW KINDERGARTEN (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 05-03-22 HDC As-Built Photos and Dimensions

#### SUNRISE KINDERGARTEN (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 1<sup>st</sup> GRADE (built 2020, expanded 2021)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 2<sup>ND</sup> GRADE (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 3<sup>RD</sup> GRADE (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 05-03-22 HDC As-Built Photos and Dimensions

#### 4<sup>TH</sup> GRADE (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 05-03-22 HDC As-Built Photos and Dimensions

#### 5<sup>TH</sup> GRADE (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

#### 6<sup>TH</sup> GRADE (built 2020)





**General View** 

Deck Dimensions (as built)





**Front View** 

Side View

### Outdoor Shelters

2555, 2508-2484 Burns Detroit Historic District Commission May 11, 2022

## DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL

FREE THINKING SINCE 1966

### History of Detroit Waldorf School Building

- Located in historic Indian Village
- Designed by architect Albert Kahn
- Built in 1913, expanded in 1923
- Occupied first by The Liggett School
- Acquired by Detroit Waldorf School in 1965
- Last standing Albert Kahndesigned school in world



### Need and Inspiration

- Covid-19 and need to continue in-person learning
- Fresh air classrooms created during the 1918 pandemic
- Historic precedents:

www.nytimes.com/2020/07/17/nyre gion/coronavirus-nyc-schoolsreopening-outdoors.html

www.washingtonpost.com/history/2 020/09/14/open-air-schoolsoutdoor-coronavirus/

www.smithsonianmag.com/history/ history-outdoor-schooling-180975696/

www.history.com/news/schooloutside-tuberculosis



### Outdoor Classroom Campus Planning



### Outdoor Classroom Structures

- 14 cedar shelters (12 within Indian Village and 2 outside the district)
- Simple shed structure open on
  3 sides with teaching wall
- Donation funded and volunteer constructed
- Granted a Notice to Proceed on a temporary basis in 2020







09/04/20 Dalle



1

в

SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"

с

**-**

₹4

8

D

SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"

Ľ

А

FOR PERMIT 03/04/20 Revision Date Date

ŝ

DETROIT WALDORF 2005 BURNS 2

OF MIC

BRIAN A. REBAIN ARCHITEOT

Ref

Sheet Tille 20X20 SHELTER ROOF PLANS AND TYP GUTTER DETAIL



SCALE : 1/2" =1"-0"

Sheet Number



0 6" 1' 2' SCALE : 1/2" =1"-0"

### Breaking Ground

 Constructed in Summer 2020 by school and community volunteers



### 6 weeks of work...



### ...successfully finished by opening day, fall 2020




...and in service, in all weather conditions (January 2021)





Honeybee Pre-K

Current conditions, May 2022



#### Moon Pre-K



## Sunflower Pre-K



# Bluebird Pre-K



# Rainbow Kindergarten



# Sunrise Kindergarten



## First Grade



### Second Grade



## Third Grade



## Fourth Grade



# Fifth Grade



## Sixth Grade



## Seventh Grade



# Eighth Grade



# Publicity

- Second Wave Media

   www.secondwavemedia.com/m
   etromode/features/detroit waldorf-school.aspx
- Click On Detroit

www.nbcnews.com/news/educa tion/tents-yurts-snowsuitsschools-seeking-alternativessremote-learning-move-classesn1235809

NBC News

www.clickondetroit.com/news/lo cal/2020/08/20/detroit-schoolprepares-for-in-person-learningby-adding-5000-square-feet-inoutdoor-classrooms/



"Outdoor education has always been at the heart of our educational philosophy and the outdoor classrooms enhance the quality of education for our students in numerous ways. The study of botany, gardening, local geography, environmental justice, and the central Waldorf tenant of observing the rhythms of the natural world, are fully embraced by learning outside."



### Benefits

- Outdoor learning is proven to benefit student mental health and academic performance.
- Learning outdoors helps develop a sense of place and civic attitudes and behaviors.
- Outdoor education and these outdoor structures engage families and the greater community.

# Enriched learning







## Community Partnerships

- Engagement with Detroit community
- New and strengthened partnerships
- Classrooms offer collaborative spaces for community events and performances.



### Mosaic Youth Theatre Camp

- Summer 2021, 2 weeks
- 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> graders



#### Community Music Festival

- Summer 2021
- Song, dance, poetry performances
- Also planned for Summer 2022





## Storytelling Festival

- April 30, 2022
- Hosted by DWS Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Committee
- Free and open to public
- Community Partners for event:
  - Mosaic Youth Theatre
  - Ruth Ellis Center
  - Detroit Disability Power
  - Detroit Pizza Bar
  - Cold Truth
  - Shakespeare in Detroit
  - Inside Out Literary Arts

"We feel the most alive when we are connecting with others and sharing our stories." -Brene Brown

Saturday, April 30 12-4pm at Detroit Waldorf School

> Ruth Ellis Center

We invite you to join us in our outdoor classrooms for an afternoon of storytelling featuring:

Storytelling Fiting

> Ruth Ellis Center Detroit Disability Power Shakespeare in Detroit Inside Out Literary Arts DWS Teacher Simone Shurney Andaiya Spencer Eradajere Oleita Jatu Gray Jennine Spencer DWS Middle School Students

> > DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL



Artwork by DWS student

Kameron Young















A Sheen and

THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

ations.

57 1997

UNIVERSE PROVIDE

-60.00

10.00

Section 1

CIE

ENERT E

anda

Domos

107 10020

I Destation

#### Future Community Engagement

Serving our Detroit neighbors and community through the following future plans:

- Musical and theatre performances
- Art shows
- Community markets
- Winter faires
- Public engagements with community leaders and citizens
- Nonprofit partnerships
- Community festivals
- School collaborations
- Create additional revenue streams for our school and partners





October 20, 2020

#### <u>NOTICE TO PROCEED</u> FOR TEMPORARY WORK IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19

Brian Rebain KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC 1420 Broadway Detroit, MI 48226

#### RE: Application Number 20-6913; 2555 Burns (Detroit Waldorf School), Indian Village Historic District

Dear Mr. Rebain,

The staff of the Detroit Historic District Commission has reviewed the above-referenced application for temporary work in response to the COVID-19 emergency, pursuant to Section 5(10) of the Michigan Local Historic District Act, as amended, being MCL 399.205(10), MSA 5-3407(5)(10); Sections. 21-2-57 and 21-2-73 of the 2019 Detroit City Code and Detroit Historic District Commission Resolution 20-02 (adopted June 10, 2020) and Resolution 20-06 (adopted October 14, 2020), and finds the following work to be inappropriate per the defined Elements of Design for the <u>Indian Village</u> Historic District and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation (36 CFR Part 67):

• Installation of 14 wood shelters on wood post footings, and metal roof

• Landscape plantings

However, pursuant to Detroit Historic District Commission Resolutions 20-02 and 20-06, the Detroit Historic District Commission has authorized the above-referenced temporary work to proceed via the issuance of a Notice to Proceed (NTP) because the following condition prevails:

Retaining the resource is not in the interest of the majority of the community.

Please retain this document for your files. This NTP is issued only for temporary work installed and removed prior to June 30, 2021, or as otherwise extended by the Commission in response to the ongoing COVID-19 emergency. Environments altered via benefit of this NTP shall be restored to an appropriate historic condition no later than 180 days after the final expiration of Resolution 20-02, absent the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or NTP for permanent work or other extension by the Commission. The Detroit Historic District Commission's approval does not waive the applicant's responsibility to comply with any other applicable ordinances or statutes.

For the Commission:

V. KIEDE

Daniel Rieden Staff Detroit Historic District Commission







Aug. 16, 2020 12:36 Floor Joists.wwb

#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Loads:

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location [ft]		Magnitude		Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start	End	
dead	Dead	Full Area				15.00(16.	0")	psf
Live	Live	Full Area				40.00(16.	0")	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL				2.2		plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

		10.074'		
	 Q'		10'	
Unfactored: Dead Live	112 269		112 269	
Total	380		380	
Capacity Joist Support	380 699		380 699	
Joist Support Load comb	1.00 0.54 #2		1.00 0.54 #2	
Length Min req'd Cb	0.89 0.89 1.00		0.89 0.89 1.00	
Cb min Cb support Fcp sup	1.00 1.25 625		1.00 1.25 625	

#### floor joists Lumber-soft, S-P-F, No.1/No.2, 2x8 (1-1/2"x7-1/4")

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2

Floor joist spaced at 16.0" c/c; Total length: 10.07'; Clear span: 9.926'; Volume = 0.8 cu.ft.

Wet service; Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to online help);

#### This section PASSES the design code check.

#### Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	Unit	Analysis/Design
Shear	fv = 45	Fv' = 131	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.35
Bending(+)	fb = 862	Fb' = 1207	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.71
Live Defl'n	0.20 = L/600	0.33 = L/360	in	0.60
Total Defl'n	0.37 = L/327	0.50 = L/240	in	0.73
#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

Floor Joists.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Additiona	I Data:											
FACTORS:	F/E(psi)	CD	СМ	Ct	CL	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	Cn	LC#
Fv'	135 1	1.00	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
Fb <b>'</b> +	875 1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.000	1.200	-	1.15	1.00	1.00	-	2
Fcp'	425	-	0.67	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	-
Е'	1.4 mil]	lion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
Emin'	0.51 mill	lion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
CRITICAL L	OAD COMBI	NATION	NS:									
Shear	: LC #2	= D+	L									
Bending(	+): LC #2	= D+	L									
Deflecti	on: LC #2	= D+	L (l	ive)								
	LC #2	= D+	L (t	otal)								
Bearing	: Suppor	rt 1 -	LC #	2 = D + 2	L							
	Suppoi	rt 2 -	LC #	2 = D + 2	L							
D=dead L	=live S=sr	now W=	wind	I=impa	ct Lr=r	oof liv	e Lc=c	concent	rated	E=eart	hquake	
All LC's	are liste	ed in	the A	nalysi	s outpu	t						
Load com	binations	: ASD	Basic	from 2	ASCE 7-	16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	2		
CALCULAT	IONS:											
V max =	378, V des	sign =	329	lbs; M	(+) = 9	44 lbs-	ft					
EI = 66.	EI = 66.69e06 lb-in <sup>2</sup>											
"Live" d	leflection	is du	e to	all no	n-dead	loads (	live,	wind,	snow)			
Total de	flection =	= 2.0	dead	+ "live	e <b>"</b>							

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:34 floor beam edge.wwb

#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Loads:

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location [ft]		Magnitude		Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start	End	
dead	Dead	Full Area				15.00(5.0	)) )	psf
Live	Live	Full Area				40.00(5.0	)O <b>'</b> )	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL				5.6		plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

	/			—— 10	.139' ———		
	⊠ 4 0'						 10'
Unfactored: Dead Live	408 1014						408 1014
Total	1422						1422
Bearing: Capacity Beam Support Des ratio Beam Support Load comb Length Min req'd Cb Cb min Cb support Fcp sup	1422 2353 1.00 0.60 #2 1.66 1.66 1.00 1.00 1.13 625					1.02 CLOSE TO 1.0 OK	1422 2353 1.00 0.60 #2 1.66 1.66 1.00 1.00 1.13 625
		<b>Lumber n-ply</b> Suppor Total length: Wet service; Later	floor b <b>, S-P-F, No</b> ts: All - Timl 10.14'; Clea al support: t	eam - ed <b>5.1/No.2,</b> 2 per-soft Beau r span: 9.8 op = contin	ge <b>2x10, 2-ply</b> am, D.Fir-L N 61'; Volume uous, bottom	<b>(3"x9-1/4")</b> No.2 = 2.0 cu.ft. n = at supports;	
WARNING: This	section	This so violates the follow	ection FA ring design	ILS the c criteria: B	lesign che ending	eck	
Analysis vs. /	Allowab	le Stress and D	Deflection	using ND	S 2018 :		
Criterion Shear Bending(+) Live Defl' Total Defl'	Ar 7 0. 7 0.	alysis Value fv = 63 fb = 984 18 = L/664 33 = L/368	Design Fv' = Fb' = 0.33 = 0.50 =	Value 131 962 L/360 L/240	Unit psi psi in in	Analysis/Design fv/Fv' = 0.48 fb/Fb' = 1.02v 0.54 0.65	

floor beam edge.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Additiona	I Data:											
FACTORS:	F/E(psi)	CD	CM	Ct	CL	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	Cn	LC#
Fv'	135	1.00	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
Fb <b>'</b> +	875	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.000	1.100	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	2
Fcp'	425	-	0.67	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	-
Е'	1.4 mil	llion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
Emin'	0.51 mil	llion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
CRITICAL L	OAD COME	BINATIC	DNS:									
Shear	: LC #2	2 = D	+L									
Bending(	+): LC #2	2 = D	+L									
Deflecti	on: LC #2	2 = D	+L (1	ive)								
	LC #2	2 = D	+L (t	otal)	_							
Bearing	: Suppo	ort 1	- LC #	2 = D +	L -							
	Suppo	ort 2	– LC #	2 = D+	L _	c 1 '	-			<b>_</b>	, ,	
D=dead L	=live S=s	snow W	=wind	1=1mpa	ct Lr=r	OOI LIV	re Lc=0	concent	rated	E=eart	nquake	
AII LC'S	are list	tea in	tne A	naiysi	s outpu		( <b>T</b> D a	0010 1	COF 0	0		
Load com	binations	s: ASD	Basic	irom	ASCE /-	16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	Z		
CALCULATI	ONS:											
V max =	1403, V c	design	= 116	7 lbs;	M(+) =	3508 1	.bs-ft					
EI = 138	.50e06 1k	o-in^2	/ply									
"Live" d	etlectior	n is d	ue to	all no	n-dead	⊥oads (	live,	wind,	snow)			
Total de	flection	= 2.0	dead	+ "liv	e"							

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

4. BUILT-UP BEAMS: it is assumed that each ply is a single continuous member (that is, no butt joints are present) and that each ply is equally top-loaded. Where beams are side-loaded, special fastening details may be required.

5. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.



#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

•

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location	[ft]	Magnituc	le	Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start	End	
dead	Dead	Full Area	No			10.00(5.0	)0')	psf
Live	Live	Full Area	No			40.00(5.0	)O <b>'</b> )	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL	No			5.6		plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

	+	14.55'		
	م م'		<u>ک</u> 10'	14.5'
Unfactored: Dead Live	224 808		585 2103	
Total Bearing:	1032		2687	
Capacity Beam Support	1032 1707		2687 3916	
Beam Support Load comb	1.00 0.60 #2		1.00 0.69 #2	
Length Min req'd	1.21		2.77	
Cb min Cb support Fcp sup	1.00 1.00 1.13 625		1.14 1.14 1.13 625	

floor beam - cantilevered

#### Lumber n-ply, S-P-F, No.1/No.2, 2x10, 2-ply (3"x9-1/4")

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2 Total length: 14.55'; Clear span: 9.834', 4.385'; Volume = 2.8 cu.ft. Wet service; Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = continuous **This section PASSES the design code check.** 

#### Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	Unit	Analysis/Design
Shear	fv = 71	Fv' = 131	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.54
Bending(+)	fb = 570	Fb' = 962	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.59
Bending(-)	fb = 726	Fb' = 962	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.75
Deflection:			_	
Interior Live	0.09 = < L/999	0.33 = L/360	in	0.28
Total	0.15 = L/818	0.50 = L/240	in	0.29
Cantil. Live	0.02 = < L/999	0.30 = L/180	in	0.07
Total	0.03 = < L/999	0.45 = L/120	in	0.07

#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

floor beam cantilevered.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Additiona	al Data:										
FACTORS:	F/E(psi) CD	CM	Ct	CL	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	Cn	LC#
Fv'	135 1.00	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
Fb <b>'</b> +	875 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.000	1.100	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	2
Fb'-	875 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.000	1.100	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	2
Fcp <b>'</b>	425 -	0.67	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	-
Е'	1.4 million	0.90	1.00	-	_	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
Emin'	0.51 million	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
CRITICAL L	LOAD COMBINATI	ONS:									
Shear	: LC #2 =	D+L									
Bending	(+): LC #2 =	D+L									
Bending	(-): LC #2 =	D+L									
Deflecti	ion: LC #2 =	D+L (1	ive)								
	LC #2 =	D+L (t	otal)								
Bearing	: Support 1	- LC #	2 = D +	·L							
	Support 2	- LC #	2 = D +	·L							
D=dead I	L=live S=snow	W=wind	I=impa	.ct Lr=r	coof liv	ve Lc=	concent	rated	E=eart	hquake	:
All LC's	s are listed i	n the A	nalysi	s outpu	ıt						
Load con	mbinations: AS	D Basic	from	ASCE 7-	-16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	2		
CALCULAT	TONS:										
V max =	1537, V desig	n = 131	2 lbs;	M(+) =	= 2032 1	bs-ft	; M(-)	= 2588	lbs-f	t	
EI = 138	8.50e06 lb-in^	2/ply									
"Live" o	deflection is	due to	all nc	n-dead	loads (	live,	wind,	snow)			
Total de	eflection = $2$ .	0 dead	+ "liv	e"							

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Continuous or Cantilevered Beams: NDS Clause 4.2.5.5 requires that normal grading provisions be extended to the middle 2/3 of 2 span beams and to the full length of cantilevers and other spans.

4. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

5. BUILT-UP BEAMS: it is assumed that each ply is a single continuous member (that is, no butt joints are present) and that each ply is equally top-loaded. Where beams are side-loaded, special fastening details may be required.

6. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:55

Roof beam edge.wwb

#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Loads:
--------

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location	[ft]	Magnitu	de	Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start	End	
dead	Dead	Full Area	No			10.00(5.0	) ( <b>'</b> 0 C	psf
Snow	Snow	Full Area	Yes			22.00(5.0	) ( <b>'</b> 0 C	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL	No			5.6		plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :



#### Roof Beam - edge of double bay Lumber n-ply, S-P-F, No.1/No.2, 2x10, 2-ply (3"x9-1/4") Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2 Total length: 14.61'; Clear span: 12.104', 2.251'; Volume = 2.8 cu.ft.; Pitch: 2/12

Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = continuous

This section PASSES the design code check.

#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

Roof beam edge.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Page 2

Analysis vs. Allow	wable Stress and I	Deflection using N	DS 2018 :		
Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	Unit	Analysis/Design	
Shear	fv = 48	Fv' = 155	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.31	
Bending(+)	fb = 801	Fb' = 1107	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.72	
Bending(-)	fb = 118	Fb' = 1107	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.11	
Deflection:					
Interior Live	0.18 = L/800	0.41 = L/360	in	0.45	
Total	0.36 = L/404	0.73 = L/200	in	0.49	
Cantil. Live	-0.11 = L/260	0.15 = L/180	in	0.69	
lotal	-0.20 = L/134	0.27 = 1/100	111	0.74	
Additional Data:					
FACTORS: F/E(ps:	i) CD CM Ct	CL CF	Cfu Cr	Cfrt Ci Cn LC	#
Fv' 135	1.15 1.00 1.00	) – –		1.00 1.00 1.00 2	
Fb <b>'</b> + 875	1.15 1.00 1.00	0 1.000 1.100	- 1.00	1.00 1.00 - 3	
Fb'- 875	1.15 1.00 1.00	1.000 1.100	- 1.00	1.00 1.00 - 2	
Fcp' 425	- 1.00 1.00	) – –		1.00 1.00	
E' 1.4 m	illion 1.00 1.00	) – –		1.00 1.00 - 3	
Emin' 0.51 m	illion 1.00 1.00	) – –		1.00 1.00 - 3	
CRITICAL LOAD COM	IBINATIONS:				
Shear : LC :	#2 = D+S				
Bending(+): LC	#3 = D+S (pattern	n: Ss)			
Bending(-): LC	#2 = D+S				
Deflection: LC	#3 = (live)				
LC i	#3 = (total)		<b>`</b>		
Bearing : Supp	port $I - LC #3 = I$	0+S (pattern: Ss	)		
Dedaad Ieliwa C	port $Z = LC \# Z = I$	J+5 naat Im-maaf lin	. Ta-aanaant	mated E-aamthewake	
All IC's are lie	-show w-wind i-ing	pact LI-IOOI IIV	e LC-Concent	Liated E-earthquake	
Load Datternet	$r = \frac{1}{2}$ $Y = \frac{1}{2}$ $r = \frac{1}{2}$	i+Ir =no natt	ern load in	this snan	
Load combination	s-5/2, A-LIS OI	$_{n} \Delta SCF 7-16 2 4$	/ TBC 2018 1		
	is. Abb basic iio	II ADCE / 10 2.4	/ IDC 2010 1	1003.3.2	
V max = 1019 V	design = 890 lbs	M(+) = 2855 lb	s-ft• M(-) =	= 421 lbs-ft	
ET = 138.50e06	lb-in^2/plv	2000 10	0 10, 11( )		
"Live" deflectio	on is due to all u	non-dead loads (	live, wind,	snow)	
Total deflection	n = 2.0  dead + "11	ive"	-,	,	
Bearing: Allowak	ole bearing at an	angle F'theta	calculated i	for each support	
as per NDS 3.10.	.3	-		<b>* *</b>	

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Continuous or Cantilevered Beams: NDS Clause 4.2.5.5 requires that normal grading provisions be extended to the middle 2/3 of 2 span beams and to the full length of cantilevers and other spans.

4. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

5. BUILT-UP BEAMS: it is assumed that each ply is a single continuous member (that is, no butt joints are present) and that each ply is equally top-loaded. Where beams are side-loaded, special fastening details may be required.

6. SLOPED BEAMS: level bearing is required for all sloped beams.

7. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.



n'

PROJECT

Aug. 16, 2020 12:57 Roof beam edge - center.wwb

#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

l oad	C '
Luau	э.

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location [ft]		Magnitude	Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start En	d
dead	Dead	Full Area	No			10.00(10.00'	) psf
Snow	Snow	Full Area	Yes			22.00(10.00'	) psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL	No			9.3	plf





14.25'

12'

	U	12		14.20
Unfactored:				
Dead	646	931	/	
Snow	1305	1860	)	
Factored:			+	
Total	1950	2797	!	
Bearing:			+	
F'theta	766	766	5	
Capacity				
Beam	2158	4100	)	
Support	1950	2797	'	
Des ratio				
Beam	0.90	0.68	3	
Support	1.00	1.00	)	
Load comb	#3	#2	-	
Length	0.81		)	
Min req'd	0.81**	1.16**		
Cb	1.00	1.32	:	
Cb min	1.00	1.32	<u>.</u>	
Cb support			<u>:</u>	
Fcp sup	625	625	›	
**Minimum bea	ring length	n governed by the required width of the supporting member.		

#### Roof Beam - Center of double bay LVL n-ply, 2.0E, 2500Fb, 1-3/4"x9-1/4", 2-ply (3-1/2"x9-1/4")

. n-ply, 2.0E, 2500Fb, 1-3/4<sup>\*</sup>X9-1/4<sup>\*\*</sup>, 2-ply (3-1/2<sup>\*\*</sup>X9-1/4<sup>\*\*</sup>) Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2

Total length: 14.61'; Clear span: 12.083', 2.232'; Volume = 3.3 cu.ft.; Pitch: 2/12

Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = continuous

This section PASSES the design code check.

#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

Roof beam edge - center.wwb

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Page 2

Analysis vs. Allov	wable Stress and I	Deflection using	NDS 2018 :		
Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	e Unit	Analysis/Design	
Shear	fv = 82	Fv' = 328	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.25	
Bending(+)	fb = 1365	Fb' = 2978	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.46	
Bending(-)	fb = 201	Fb' = 2978	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.07	
Deflection:					-
Interior Live	0.22 = L/667	0.41 = L/360	) in	0.54	
Total	0.43 = L/339	0.73 = L/200	) in	0.59	
Cantil. Live	-0.13 = L/217	0.15 = L/180		0.83	
Total	-0.24 = L/113	0.27 = L/100	) in	0.88	
Additional Data:					
FACTORS: $F/E(ps^{-1})$	i) CD CM Ct	CL CV	Cfu Cr	Cfrt Ci Cn	LC#
Fv' 285	1.15 - 1.0	0		1.00 - 1.00	2
Fb'+ 2500	1.15 - 1.0	0 1.000 1.036	5 - 1.00	1.00	3
Fb'- 2500	1.15 - 1.0	0 1.000 1.036	5 - 1.00	1.00	2
Fcp' 750	1.0	- – C		1.00	_
E' 2.0 m	illion - 1.0	C		1.00	3
Eminy' 1.04 m	illion - 1.0	C		1.00	3
CRITICAL LOAD COM	IBINATIONS:				
Shear : LC	#2 = D+S				
Bending(+): LC #	<pre>#3 = D+S (patter:</pre>	n: Ss)			
Bending(-): LC	2 = D + S				
Deflection: LC	#3 = (live)				
LC a	#3 = (total)				
Bearing : Supp	port $1 - LC #3 = 1$	D+S (pattern: S	Ss)		
Supr	port 2 - LC $#2 = 1$	D+S	-		
D=dead L=live S=	snow W=wind l=im	pact Lr=roof li	lve Lc=concen	trated E=earthquake	
All LC's are lis	sted in the Analy	sis output			
Load Patterns: s	s=S/2, $X=L+S$ or	L+Lr, _=no pat	tern load in	this span	
LOAD COMDINATION	is: ASD Basic from	N ASCE /-16 2.4	4 / IBC 2018	1605.3.2	
JALCULATIONS:	$d_{2}$	$\sim M(1) = E(70)$	lba ft. M()		
v = 2027, v	design = 1/63 Lb	S; M(+) = 56/9	10S-It; M(-)	= 837 IDS-IC	
EI = 250.64e06	u-in Z/piy	oon-dood looda	(live wind		
Total deflection	$a = 2$ 0 dood + $\mathbf{I}$		(IIVe, WINd,	S110w)	
Boaring: Allowak	1 - 2.0 dead $+ 1$	ive apalo Elthota	algulated	for ouch support	
Dear Thy. ATTOWAR	at all	angre r cheta	carcarea	tor cach support	

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. BUILT-UP BEAMS: it is assumed that each ply is a single continuous member (that is, no butt joints are present) and that each ply is equally top-loaded. Where beams are side-loaded, special fastening details may be required. 4. SLOPED BEAMS: level bearing is required for all sloped beams.

5. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.

6. SCL: Structural composite lumber design has assumed: - dry service conditions - no preservative or fire-retardant treatment - no notches

7. BUILT-UP SCL: Contact manufacturer for connection details when side-loaded or when loads are not applied equally to all plies.

8. SCL deflection is based on apparent modulus of elasticity (MoE) that incorporates the effect of shear deflection. Sizer does not currently calculate shear deflection separately using true MoE.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:41 | Flo

Floor Joists SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb

1.02

0.89

#### Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Loads:	
--------	--

Г

Live Defl'n

Total Defl'n

0.40 = L/354

0.64 = L/223

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location [ft]		Magnitude	Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start Er	d
dead	Dead	Full Area				10.00(16.0")	psf
Live	Live	Full Area				40.00(16.0")	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL				2.2	plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

<u> </u>	12'	$\rightarrow$
۵'		ی 11.919'

Unfactored:		
Dead	93	
Live	320	32
Factored:		
Total	413	41
Bearing:		
Capacity		
Joist	413	41
Support	759	75
Des ratio		
Joist	1.00	1.0
Support	0.54	0.5
Load comb	#2	#:
Length	0.97	0.9
Min req'd	0.97	0.9
Cb	1.00	1.0
Cb min	1.00	1.0
Cb support	1.25	1.2
Fcp sup	625	62

floor joist single bay room

#### Lumber-soft, S-P-F, No.1/No.2, 2x8 (1-1/2"x7-1/4")

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2

Floor joist spaced at 16.0" c/c; Total length: 12.0'; Clear span: 11.839'; Volume = 0.9 cu.ft.

Wet service; Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to

online help);

,	This section FAILS the design check     1.02 ok       WARNING: This section violates the following design criteria: Deflection     1.02 ok										
Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :											
	Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	Unit	Analys	sis/Design					
	Shear	fv = 50	Fv' = 131	psi	fv,	Fv' = 0.39					
	Bending(+)	fb = 1117	Fb' = 1207	psi	fb/	/Fb' = 0.92					

L/200

in

in

0.40 = L/360

0.72 =

Floor Joists SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Additiona	al Data:											
FACTORS:	F/E(psi) CD	CM	Ct	CL	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	Cn	LC#	
Fv'	135 1.00	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2	
Fb <b>'</b> +	875 1.00	1.00	1.00	1.000	1.200	-	1.15	1.00	1.00	-	2	
Fcp'	425 -	0.67	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	-	
Е'	1.4 million	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2	
Emin'	0.51 million	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2	
CRITICAL L	CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:											
Shear : LC $#2 = D+L$												
Bending(+): LC #2 = D+L												
Deflection: LC $\#2$ = D+L (live)												
LC #2 = D+L (total)												
Bearing	Bearing : Support $1 - LC #2 = D+L$											
	Support 2	- LC #	2 = D +	L								
D=dead I	L=live S=snow W	l=wind	I=impa	ct Lr=r	coof liv	re Lc=c	concent	rated	E=eart	hquake		
All LC's	s are listed ir	n the A	nalysi	s outpu	ıt							
Load com	mbinations: ASE	) Basic	from	ASCE 7-	16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	2			
CALCULAT	IONS:											
V max =	410, V design	= 366	lbs; M	(+) = 1	.223 lbs	-ft						
EI = 66.	.69e06 lb-in^2											
"Live" d	deflection is c	lue to	all no	n-dead	loads (	live,	wind,	snow)				
Total de	eflection = $2.0$	) dead	+ "liv	e"								

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:45

floor beam edge SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb

## Design Check Calculation Sheet WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

.oads:							1.	
Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location	[ft] End	Magnitude Start End	Unit	
dead	Dead	Full Area	No	Dtart	шпа	10.00(6.00')	psf	
Live	Live	Full Area	No			40.00(6.00')	psf	
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL	No			7.1	plf	
laximum Rea	ctions (lbs),	Bearing Capacities	(lbs) a	and Bearin	g Ler	ngths (in) :		
			1	4.307' ——				
	<sup>젖</sup> O'					<u></u> 12'		 14.25'
	-							
Dead	392					56	7	
Live	1403					203	1	
Factored:							_	
Total	1795					259	8	
Bearing: -								
Capacity								
Beam	1795					306	0	
Support	1810					259	8	
Beam	1 00						5	
Support	0 99							
Load comb	#2					#	2	
Length	1.37					1.9	6	
Min reg'd	1.37					1.96*	*	
Cb	1.00					1.1	9	
Cb min	1.00					1.1	9	
Cb support	1.21					1.2	1	
Fcp sup	625					62	5	
"Minimum beari	ng length goverr	ned by the required width	of the s	supporting me	mber.			
		floor bea	am - e	dge single	bay ro	oom		
		LVL n-ply, 1.8E, 22 Supports: All - Timbe	<b>00Fb</b> , r-soft B	<b>1-3/4"x14",</b> eam, D.Fir-L	<b>1-ply</b> No.2			
	Total I	ength: 14.31'; Clear span Lateral support: top = cor	i: 11.86 htinuou:	2', 2.168'; Vo s, bottom = co	ume = ontinuo	2.4 cu.ft. ous		
		This section PASSES	5 the de	sign code c	heck.			

#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

floor beam edge SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb>odWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Analysis	vs. Allov	wable Sti	ress a	ind De	eflectior	າ using N	DS 2018	B:					
Criteri	on	Analys	is Val	lue	Design	Value	Uni	t	Analy	sis/De	esign	7	
Shear		fv =	93		Fv' =	285	psi		fv.	/Fv' =	= 0.33	-	
Bending(+)		fb =	1080		Fb' =	2154	psi		fb	/Fb' =	= 0.50		
Bending	(-)	fb =	163		Fb' =	2154	psi		fb	/Fb' =	= 0.08		
Deflecti	on:											-	
Interio	r Live	0.14 =	< L/9	999	0.40 =	L/360	in				0.36		
	Total	0.22 =	L/64	48	0.72 =	L/200	lin				0.31		
Cantil.	Live	-0.08 =	L/34	44	0.15 =	L/180	lin				0.52		
	Total	-0.12 =	L/22	21	0.27 =	L/100	in				0.45		
Additiona	I Data:												
FACTORS:	F/E (ps	i) CD	СМ	Ct.	CL	CV	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt.	Ci	Cn	LC#	
Fv'	285	1.00	_	1.00	_	_	_	_	1.00	_	1.00	2	
Fb <b>'</b> +	2200	1.00	_	1.00	1.000	0.979	-	1.00	1.00	_	-	2	
Fb'-	2200	1.00	_	1.00	1.000	0.979	-	1.00	1.00	_	-	2	
Fcp'	750	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	_	-	-	
Е'	1.8 m	illion	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	2	
Eminy'	0.93 m	illion	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	2	
CRITICAL L	OAD CON	<b>IBINATION</b>	IS:										
Shear	: LC	#2 = D+1	- _										
Bending(	+): LC	#2 = D+1											
Bending(	-): LC :	#2 = D+1	_										
Deflecti	on: LC	#2 = D+1	L (1:	ive)									
	LC	#2 = D+1	L (to	otal)									
Bearing	: Sup	port 1 -	LC #2	2 = D + D + D + D + D + D + D + D + D + D	+L								
	Sup	port 2 -	LC #2	2 = D4	⊦∟ 		. <del>.</del>				1		
D=dead L	=live S	=snow w=v	vina . 	L=1mpa	act Lr=r	COI LIV	e rc=c	oncent	rated	E=eart	inquake		
AII LC'S	are 11:	sted in t	che Ar	from	LS OULPU		/ TDC	2010 1	605 2	2			
		IIS: ASD I	Sasic	LTOIII	ASCE /-	-10 2.4	/ IBC	2010 1	005.5.	Z			
V max =	1003.	dogian -	- 150	- lba	M(+) -	51/5 1	ha-f+•	M(-)	_ 777	lba_f+			
v max = FT = 720	2901, V	uesign = lb_in^?	- 1923	, TD2	, m(+) =	. JI4J I	DS-IL;	тч ( — )	- /// .	TDS-IC	-		
"Live" d	eflecti	n is due	• to a	all no	n-dead	loads (	live	wind	snow )				
Total de	flection	n = 2.0 c	dead -	μ	ze"	10005 (	v <b>-</b> ,	w I II a j	5110 ₩)				
-ocur uc			2000										

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.

4. SCL: Structural composite lumber design has assumed: - dry service conditions - no preservative or fire-retardant treatment - no notches

5. BUILT-UP SCL: Contact manufacturer for connection details when side-loaded or when loads are not applied equally to all plies.

6. SCL deflection is based on apparent modulus of elasticity (MoE) that incorporates the effect of shear deflection. Sizer does not currently calculate shear deflection separately using true MoE.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:47 Roof

Roof Joists SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb

#### Design Check Calculation Sheet

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Load	Туре	Distribution	Pat-	Location [ft]		Magnitude	Unit
			tern	Start	End	Start End	
dead	Dead	Full Area				10.00(16.0")	psf
Snow	Snow	Full Area				22.00(16.0")	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL				2.2	plf

#### Maximum Reactions (lbs), Bearing Capacities (lbs) and Bearing Lengths (in) :

<u> </u>	12'	$\longrightarrow$
₽́ 0'		11.947'
		1

Unfactored:		
Dead	93	93
Snow	176	176
Factored:		
Total	269	269
Bearing:		
Capacity		
Joist	269	269
Support	495	495
Des ratio		
Joist	1.00	1.00
Support	0.54	0.54
Load comb	#2	#2
Length	0.63	0.63
Min req'd	0.63	0.63
Cb	1.00	1.00
Cb min	1.00	1.00
Cb support	1.25	1.25
Fcp sup	625	625

#### Roof Joist Single bay room

#### Lumber-soft, S-P-F, No.1/No.2, 2x8 (1-1/2"x7-1/4")

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2

Floor joist spaced at 16.0" c/c; Total length: 12.0'; Clear span: 11.895'; Volume = 0.9 cu.ft.

Wet service; Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = at supports; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to

online help);

#### This section PASSES the design code check.

#### Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

Criterion	Analysis Value	Design Value	Unit	Analysis/Design
Shear	fv = 33	Fv' = 151	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.22
Bending(+)	fb = 731	Fb' = 1389	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.53
Live Defl'n	0.22 = L/639	0.40 = L/360	in	0.56
Total Defl'n	0.46 = L/310	0.72 = L/200	in	0.64

Roof Joists SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

Additiona	I Data:										
FACTORS:	F/E(psi) CD	CM	Ct	CL	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	Cn	LC#
Fv'	135 1.15	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
Fb <b>'</b> +	875 1.15	5 1.00	1.00	1.000	1.200	-	1.15	1.00	1.00	-	2
Fcp'	425 -	0.67	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	_
Е'	1.4 millior	n 0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
Emin'	0.51 millior	n 0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	-	2
CRITICAL L	OAD COMBINAT	IONS:									
Shear	: LC #2 =	D+S									
Bending(	+): LC #2 =	D+S									
Deflecti	on: LC #2 =	D+S (1	ive)								
	LC #2 =	D+S (t	otal)								
Bearing	: Support 1	. – LC #	2 = D +	S							
	Support 2	2 - LC #	2 = D +	S							
D=dead I	=live S=snow	W=wind	I=impa	ct Lr=r	coof liv	re Lc=c	concent	rated	E=eart	hquake	
All LC's	are listed :	n the A	nalysi	s outpu	ıt						
Load com	binations: AS	SD Basic	from	ASCE 7-	16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	2		
CALCULAT	IONS:										
V max =	268, V design	1 = 240	lbs; M	(+) = 8	801 lbs-	ft					
EI = 66.	69e06 lb-in^2	2									
"Live" d	eflection is	due to	all no	n-dead	loads (	live,	wind,	snow)			
Total de	flection = $2$	0 dead	+ "liv	e"							

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.



Aug. 16, 2020 12:50 Roof beam edge

Roof beam edge SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb

## **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

Load	Туре	Distribution F	at- Location [ft	t] Magnitude	Unit
		t	ern Start End	d Start End	
dead	Dead	Full Area	No	10.00(6.00')	psf
Snow	Snow	Full Area	Yes	22.00(6.00')	psf
Self-weight	Dead	Full UDL	No	5.6	plf
laximum Rea	actions (lbs),	Bearing Capacities (	bs) and Bearing L	engths (in) :	
			14.613'		
	<u>}</u>			X	
	0'			12'	14.25'
Unfactored: Dead	388			562	
Snow Factored:	783			1116	
Total Bearing:	1171			1678	
F'theta	433			433	
Beam	1171			1678	
Support Des ratio	1902			1935	
Beam	1.00			1.00	
Support	0.62			0.87	
Load comb	#3			#2	
Length	0.90			0.92	
Min req'd	0.90			0.92	
Cb	1.00			1.41	
Cb min	1.00			1.41	
Cb support	1.13			1.13	
	6251			625	

Supports: All - Timber-soft Beam, D.Fir-L No.2

Total length: 14.61'; Clear span: 12.089', 2.242'; Volume = 2.8 cu.ft.; Pitch: 2/12

Lateral support: top = continuous, bottom = continuous

This section PASSES the design code check.

#### SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

#### Roof beam edge SINGLE SPAN ROOM.wwb)odWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Page 2

CriterionAnalysis ValueDesignValueUnitAnalysis/DesignShear $fv = 57$ $Fv' = 155$ $psi$ $fv/Fv' = 0.37$ Bending(+) $fb = 956$ $Fb' = 1107$ $psi$ $fb/Fb' = 0.86$ Bending(-) $fb = 141$ $Fb' = 1107$ $psi$ $fb/Fb' = 0.13$
Shear     fv =     57     Fv' =     155     psi     fv/Fv' =     0.37       Bending(+)     fb =     956     Fb' =     1107     psi     fb/Fb' =     0.86       Bending(-)     fb =     141     Fb' =     1107     psi     fb/Fb' =     0.13
Bending(+) fb = 956 Fb' = 1107 psi fb/Fb' = 0.86   Bending(-) fb = 141 Fb' = 1107 psi fb/Fb' = 0.13
Bending (-)   fb = 141   Fb' = 1107   psi   fb/Fb' = 0.13
Deflection:
Interior Live 0.22 = L/667 0.41 = L/360 in 0.54
Total $0.43 = L/339$ $0.73 = L/200$ in $0.59$
Cantil. Live $ -0.13 = L/217$ $  0.15 = L/180   in 0.83  $
Total -0.24 = L/113   0.27 = L/100   in   0.88
Additional Data:
FACTORS: F/E(psi) CD CM Ct CL CF Cfu Cr Cfrt Ci Cn LC#
Fv' 135 1.15 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 2
Fb'+ 875 1.15 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.100 - 1.00 1.00 1.00
Fb'- 875 1.15 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.100 - 1.00 1.00 1.00
Fcp' 425 - 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
E' 1.4 million 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 - 3
Emin' 0.51 million 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 - 3
CRITICAL LOAD COMBINATIONS:
Shear : LC #2 = D+S
Bending(+): LC #3 = D+S (pattern: Ss)
Bending(-): LC #2 = D+S
Deflection: LC #3 = (live)
LC #3 = (total)
Bearing : Support 1 - LC #3 = D+S (pattern: Ss) Support 2 - LC #2 = D+S
Dedead Lelive Sesnow Wewind Teimpact Lreroof live Lceconcentrated Eeearthquake
All LC's are listed in the Analysis output
Load Patterns: $s=S/2$ , X=L+S or L+Lr, =no pattern load in this span
Load combinations: ASD Basic from ASCE 7-16 2.4 / IBC 2018 1605.3 2
CALCULATIONS:
$V_{max} = 1216$ , V design = 1060 lbs· M(+) = 3407 lbs-ft· M(-) = 502 lbs-ft
$EI = 138.50e06 \ lb-in^2/plv$
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow )
Total deflection = 2.0 dead + "live"
Bearing: Allowable bearing at an angle F'theta calculated for each support
as per NDS 3.10.3

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. Continuous or Cantilevered Beams: NDS Clause 4.2.5.5 requires that normal grading provisions be extended to the middle 2/3 of 2 span beams and to the full length of cantilevers and other spans.

4. Sawn lumber bending members shall be laterally supported according to the provisions of NDS Clause 4.4.1.

5. BUILT-UP BEAMS: it is assumed that each ply is a single continuous member (that is, no butt joints are present) and that each ply is equally top-loaded. Where beams are side-loaded, special fastening details may be required.

6. SLOPED BEAMS: level bearing is required for all sloped beams.

7. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.



Aug. 16, 2020 13:19

**TYPICAL POST.wwc** 

#### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

WoodWorks Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

#### Loads:

Load	Туре	Distribution	Location [ft]	Magnitude	Unit
			Start End	Start End	
DEAD	Dead	Axial	(Ecc. = 0.92")	500	lbs
SNOW	Snow	Axial	(Ecc. = 0.92")	1000	lbs
Load3	Wind	Point	4.00	144	lbs
Self-weight	Dead	Axial		27	lbs

#### **Reactions (lbs):**



#### SUPPORT POSTS

#### Lumber n-ply, S-P-F, Stud, 2x6, 2-ply (3"x5-1/2")

Support: Non-wood

Total length: 8.0'; Volume = 0.9 cu.ft.

Pinned base; Load face = width(b); Built-up fastener: bolts; Wet service; Ke x Lb: 1.0 x 8.0 = 8.0 ft; Ke x Ld: 1.0 x 8.0 = 8.0 ft; Repetitive factor: applied where permitted (refer to online help);

This section PASSES the design code check.

#### Analysis vs. Allowable Stress and Deflection using NDS 2018 :

Criterion	Analysis Value	Design	Value	Unit	Analysis/Design
Shear	fv = 4	Fv' =	210	psi	fv/Fv' = 0.02
Bending(+)	fb = 152	Fb' =	891	psi	fb/Fb' = 0.17
Axial	fc = 93	Fc' =	216	psi	fc/Fc' = 0.43
Combined	(axial + eccentr:	c + side	load ber	nding)	Eq.15.4-1 = 0.34
Axial Bearing	fc = 93	Fc* =	834	psi	$fc/Fc^* = 0.11$
Live Defl'n	0.04 = < L/999	0.80 =	L/120	in	0.04
Total Defl'n	0.05 = < L/999	0.80 =	L/120	in	0.06

```
WoodWorks® Sizer
```

SOFTWARE FOR WOOD DESIGN

```
TYPICAL POST.wwc
```

H

WoodWorks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

```
Page 2
```

Additiona	al Data:										
FACTORS:	F/E(psi	L) CD	CM	Ct	CL/CP	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	LC#
Fv'	135	1.60	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	5
Fb <b>'</b> +	675	1.60	1.00	1.00	0.825	1.000	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	5
Fc'	725	1.15	1.00	1.00	0.259	1.000	-	-	1.00	1.00	2
Fc'comb	725	1.60	-	-	0.192	-	-	-	-	-	3
E'	1.2 mi	illion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	3
Emin'	0.44 mi	illion	0.90	1.00	_	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	3
Fc*	725	1.15	1.00	1.00	_	1.000	-	-	1.00	1.00	2
CRITICAL L	OAD CON	1BINATIO	DNS:								
Shear	: LC ‡	‡5 = D	+.6W								
Bending(	(+): LC #	‡5 = D	+.6W								
Deflecti	on: LC #	#4 = <b>.</b>	6D+.6W	(liv	ve)						
	LC ŧ	#3 = D	+.75(S	+.6W)	(total	)					
Axial	: LC #	‡2 = D	+S					_			
Combined	I : LC #	#3 = D	+.75(S	+.6W)	fb= 1	.03 Fb	o'= 892	1			
	FcE=	= 1068	Px	e/S=fc	c(6xe/d)	= 76	_				
D=dead L	J=live S=	snow W	=wind	l=impa	ict Lr=r	oot liv	re Lc=o	concent	rated	E=eart	nquake
AII LC'S	are lis	sted in	the A	nalysı	s outpu		( <b>TD</b> <i>G</i>	0010 1		0	
Load com	binatior	ns: ASD	Basic	irom	ASCE /-	16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	Z	
CALCULAT	IONS:							_			
$V = 48 \ 1$	.bs; M(+)	= 192	lbs-f	t; P =	= 1527 1	bs, Kf	= 0.75	D			
EI = 24.	96e06 1k	o-in^2/	ply								
"Live" d	leflectio	on is d	ue to	all nc	n-dead	⊥oads (	⊥ive,	wind,	snow)		
Total de	eflection	n = 2.0	dead	+ "liv	ve"					-	
Lateral	stabilit	cy: Lu	= 8.0	0' Le	e = 14.7	5' RB	= 20.8	3; b =	single	e ply wi	idth

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. BUILT-UP COLUMNS: nailed or bolted built-up columns shall conform to the provisions of NDS Clause 15.3.

4. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.

5. Axial load eccentricity applied in direction of load face only. It is the designers responsibility to check for effect of eccentricity in the other direction.



Aug. 16, 2020 13:23

**TYPICAL POST - DOUBLE BAY** WITH WIND.wwc

### **Design Check Calculation Sheet**

		W	/oodWorks \$	Sizer 2019 (I	Jpdate 1)			
Loads:								
Load	Тур	Distr	ribution	Location	[ft] End	Magnitude Start End	Unit	
DEAD	Dead	Axia	1	(Ecc. = 0	.92")	600	lbs	
SNOW	Snow	Axia	1	(Ecc. = 0	.92")	1100	lbs	
Load3	Winc	l Point	- L	4.00		240	lbs	
Self-weight	Dead	l Axia	1			27	lbs	
Reactions (Ib	s):							
	<u> </u>							
Ца Se								Тор
	0'							∆ 8'
Unfactored:								
Lateral:	<i>c</i>							
Dead	6							-6
Wind	120							120
Axial:	120							120
Dead	627							627
Snow	1100							1100
Factored:								
R->L								-16
Load comb								#2
L->R	78							69
Load comb	#5							#4
		Lumber n-	SUPP ply, S-P-F Supp	ORT POS , Stud, 2x6 ort: Non-woo	TS 5, 2-ply (3	3"x5-1/2")		
Pinned base; Loa	ld face = ∖	vidth(b); Built-up fa ft; Repetitive fact <b>This sec</b>	istener: bolts or: applied v ction PASSI	s; Wet servic where permit E <b>S the desig</b>	= 0.9 cu.n ce; Ke x Lt tted (refer <b>gn code c</b>	o: 1.0 x 8.0 = 8.0 fi to online help); : <b>heck.</b>	;; Ke x Ld: 1.0 :	x 8.0 = 8.0
Analysis vs. A	Allowab	le Stress and I	Deflection	using NDS	<b>2018</b> :			
Critorion	7	alveie Valua	Design	Valuo	IIni+	Analyzaia/		
Shear		fv = 7	Fv' =	210	psi	fv/Fv'	= 0.03	
Bending(+)		fb = 247	Fb' =	891	psi	fb/Fb'	= 0.28	
Axial		fc = 105	Fc' =	216	psi	fc/Fc'	= 0.49	
Combined	(ax	ial + eccentr	c + side	load ben	ding)	Eq.15.4-1	= 0.47	
Axial Beari	ng	fc = 105	Fc* =	834	psi	fc/Fc*	= 0.13	
Live Defl'	n 0.	06 = < L/999	0.80 =	L/120	in		0.07	
Total Defl'	n 0.	07 = < L/999	0.80 =	L/120	in		0.09	

#### TYPICAL POST - DOUBLE BAY WITH WIND.wwc/orks® Sizer 2019 (Update 1)

```
Page 2
```

Additiona	al Data:										
FACTORS:	F/E(psi	) CD	CM	Ct	CL/CP	CF	Cfu	Cr	Cfrt	Ci	LC#
Fv'	135	1.60	0.97	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	4
Fb <b>'</b> +	675	1.60	1.00	1.00	0.825	1.000	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	5
Fc'	725	1.15	1.00	1.00	0.259	1.000	-	-	1.00	1.00	2
Fc'comb	725	1.60	-	-	0.192	-	-	-	-	-	3
Е'	1.2 mi	llion	0.90	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.00	5
Emin'	0.44 mi	llion	0.90	1.00	_	_	-	-	1.00	1.00	5
Fc*	725	1.15	1.00	1.00	-	1.000	-	-	1.00	1.00	2
CRITICAL L	_OAD COM	BINATIO	DNS:								
Shear	: LC #	4 = .	6D+.6W								
Bending	(+): LC #	5 = D	+.6W								
Deflecti	ion: LC #	4 = .	6D+.6W	(liv	ve)						
	LC #	5 = D	+.6W	(total	.)						
Axial	: LC #	=2 = D	+S								
Combined : LC #3 = D+.75(S+.6W) fb= 171 Fb'= 891											
FcE= 1068 Pxe/S=fc(6xe/d) = 87											
D=dead I	L=live S=	snow W	=wind	I=impa	ict Lr=r	coof liv	re Lc=o	concent	rated	E=eartl	nquake
All LC's	s are lis	sted in	the A	nalysi	s outpu	it.				_	
Load con	nbination	ns: ASD	Basic	from	ASCE 7-	-16 2.4	/ IBC	2018 1	605.3.	2	
	IONS:										
V = 75	lbs; M(+)	= 311	lbs-f	t; P =	= 1727 1	.bs, Kf	= 0.75	5			
EI = 24.	.96e06 lb	o-in^2/	ply								
"Live" deflection is due to all non-dead loads (live, wind, snow)											
Total de	eflection	1 = 2.0	dead	+ "liv	ve"						
Lateral stability: Lu = $8.00'$ Le = $14.75'$ RB = $20.8$ ; b = single ply width											

#### **Design Notes:**

1. WoodWorks analysis and design are in accordance with the ICC International Building Code (IBC 2018), the National Design Specification (NDS 2018), and NDS Design Supplement.

2. Please verify that the default deflection limits are appropriate for your application.

3. BUILT-UP COLUMNS: nailed or bolted built-up columns shall conform to the provisions of NDS Clause 15.3.

4. FIRE RATING: Joists, wall studs, and multi-ply members are not rated for fire endurance.

5. Axial load eccentricity applied in direction of load face only. It is the designers responsibility to check for effect of eccentricity in the other direction.



С

D





1

**—**—

2

**—** 

3

# TEMPORARY CLASSROOM SHELTER

В

# DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL

09/04/2020

				PF	20
PRC	JECT	LOCA		:	
OW	NER:				
ARC	CHITE	CT OF	RECO	ORD:	
STR	UCTU	jral e	ENGIN	EER:	
PRO SHE TEN SCH ALS PLA CUF ADJ ZON PRIM AND IN – 1 OF Sec Terr are FUR Sec The or 1 swelf the OCC CON	JECT D LTERS MEAS HOOL G O PRO YFIELD RENTL ACENT ING ING OR MARY Si BURN SCHOOL THE FO SCHOOL THE FO SCHOOL THE RMO THERMO SO-1 Buildin Special are, or activity SUPANC	ESCRIPT TO SE URE 20 ROUND VIDING LOCAT Y ZONE TO TH CONCL S ST. T LEARN OLLOWING 2-553. USES a for th DRE: 2-559. gs, Saf events be inju Y CLASS TON TYPE CODES	FION: RVE TH D'X20', S TO / GOOD IONS. ED R1 E IV-H E SUMM FUNCTION HE OWN ING CO' FUNCTION HE OWN ING CO' G SECTI - National redurations of SIFICATION PE: S:	IE SCH AND ALLOW LOCAT IWLEVE AND A ID ON IARY: ONS AT IER IS VID PR ONS O ural dis ctures tion of er uses jineerin it is di or detr	END FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO
	UPANC <sup>\</sup> ISTRUCI	Y CLASS	SIFICATIO PE: S:	)N:	

	A	nerDesignGroup etroit MI 48226   p 313 965 3399   f 313 965 3555 www.thekraemeredge.com
ROJI	ECT INFORMATION	
	2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI 48214	Architect
	DETROIT WALDORF SCHOOL 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI 48214	
:	KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC BRIAN REBAIN, RA, NCARB STATE OF MICHIGAN CERTIFICATE NO. 1301040246 1420 BROADWAY DETROIT, MI 48226 www.thekraemeredge.com (313) 965-3399	Consultant
<u>.</u>	RESURGET MARC STEINHOBEL 4219 WOODWARD AVE SUITE 306 DETROIT, MI 48201	TEMPORARY OUTDOC CLASSROOM SHELTE 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI 2555 BURNS 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI
0.0		Project / Owner
EMERGE CHOOL DUF FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, FOUR ME, APPROVED N FISCHER R1-H; R SHELTER AT THE MAIN S SEEKING PREPAREDNE OF THE CIT disasters an es needed a of the emer	NCY ERECTION OF TEMPORARY OUTDOOR WOOD CLASSROOM RING THE COVID PANDEMIC. THERE ARE 14 PROPOSED SHELTERS. ASURE 12'X12'. THE SHELTERS ARE SPACED THROUGHOUT THE TECTIVE PHYSICAL DISTANCING BETWEEN THE CLASSROOMS, WHILE R OVERSIGHT OF THE STUDENTS AS WELL AS MAINTAIN EXISTING RS ARE LOCATED ON LOTS IN THE INDIAN VILLAGE HISTORIC DISTRICT OF FOR EDUCATIONAL USE. TWO SHELTERS ARE LOCATED ON LOTS ST, CURRENTLY ZONED R2. R2 - SEE ATTACHED SITE PLAN RS ARE BEING PROPOSED AS TEMPORARY ACCESSORY STRUCTURES TO THE N BUILDING LOCATED AT 2555 BURNS, AT THE CORNER OF CHARLEVOIX TEMPORARY APPROVAL TO USE THESE STRUCTURES AS PART OF THEIR SS PLAN. APPROVAL IS BEING SOUGHT PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS Y OF DETROIT ZONING ORDINANCE: THE emergencies. Is the result of a natural disaster or other health and safety emergencies rgency.	SealDescriptionSealCorrect 200 krafter being store properties of the
es. ing, and En determined trimental to EDUCATIO OUTDOOF BUILDING:	nvironmental Department may approve other temporary uses and activities that such uses would not jeopardize the health, safety or general o properties adjacent to, or in the vicinity of, the proposed location of ONAL (ACCESSORY USE) R WOOD SHADE STRUCTURE : MICHIGAN BUILDING CODE (MBC) 2015	
		FOR PERMIT09/04/20RevisionDate
		Date 09/04/20
		Sheet Title COVER
		Sheet Number
		COVER





- PLOTTED ON 9/11/2020 9:22 AM | PLOTTED BY BRIAN REBAIN

2

3



В

FLOOR FRAMING PLAN SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"

	CANTILEVERED 14' DOUBLE 2X10 TREATED RIM JOIST, BOTH SIDES
	9'-9 3/4" TO CENTER OF FOOTINGS
	DOUBLE 2×10 TREATED WD RIM JOIST W/ TREATED 2X4 SPACER SANDWICHED BETWEEN, FLUDH WITH TOP OF RIM JOIST. THRU BOLT AT EACH
	2X6 TREATED WD BLOCKING ON INSIDE FACE OF FOOTING EMBED. THRU BOLT TO RIM JOIST. TOP OF 2X6 CUT FLUSH WITH TOP OF RIM JOIST. BOT TO SIT ON CONC FOOTING, FULL HEIGHT TBD IN FIELD
20'-3"	LINE OF 12" DIA CONC FOOTING

Α

Architect
Consultant
<b>DETROIT WALDORF</b> 2555 BURNS DETROIT, MI
Project / Owner
THIS DOCUMENT AND THE IDEAS AND DESIGNS INCORPORATED HEREIN, AS AN INSTRUMENT OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE, ARE THE PROPERTY OF KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC AND ARE NOT TO BE USED IN WHOLE OR IN PART FOR ANY REASON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC. ©COPYRIGHT 2020 KRAEMER DESIGN GROUP, LLC



## Sheet Title 20X20 SHELTER PLANS AND ELEVS

Sheet Number



0 6" 1' 2' SCALE : 1/2" =1'-0"



В

ROOF PLAN SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"

D

2

3

ROOF FRAMING PLAN SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"



FOR PERMIT	09/04/20
Revision	Date
Date	

\_\_\_\_\_

## Sheet Title

20X20 SHELTER ROOF PLANS AND TYP **GUTTER DETAIL** 

Sheet Number



0 6"1'2' SCALE:1/2"=1'-0" - 4



FOR PERMIT	09/04/20	
Revision	Date	
Date		

COLUMN BOLT PLANS